

Technical annex of indoor localization and tracking track – EvAAL Competition

Important: this version of the annex will be refined with the feedback of the competitors. Refined versions will be timely distributed to the competitors by means of the contest@evaal.aaloa.org mailing list.

Technologies

Each team should implement a localization system to cover the area of the Living Lab, without limits to the number of devices. Localization systems can be based on different technologies, including (but not limited to): measurements on radio communications (for example RSS, angle of arrival etc.) based on standard radios (such as IEEE 802.11, IEEE 802.15.4, or IEEE 802.15.1), RFID, or ultrawideband, infrared sensors, active infrared break beams, ultrasound, camera and optical systems etc.. The proposed systems may also include combinations of different technologies. Other technologies may be accepted provided they are compatible with the constraints of the hosting living lab. To this purpose competitors wishing to check such compatibility may inquire with the organizers by e-mail (info@evaal.aaloa.org). Moreover, this year competition includes the possibility of exploiting the context information provided by the Living Lab (such as opening/closing doors, switching on/off the light etc..), in order to refine the proposed localization system (to be defined in the next revisions of this document).

The teams should consider possible restrictions related to the availability of power plugs, cable displacement, attachment of devices to walls/furniture in the Living Lab, etc. The requirements of the proposed localization systems should be communicated at an early stage in order to make the necessary on-site arrangements. However the Technical Program Committee (TPC) may exclude localization systems if their deployment is incompatible with the living lab constraints.

Benchmark Testing

The score for measurable criteria for each competing artefact will be evaluated by means of benchmark tests (prepared by the organizing committee). For this purpose each team will be allocated a precise time slot at the living lab, during which the benchmark tests will be carried out. The benchmark consists of a set of tests, each of which will contribute to assessment of the scores for the artefact. The EC will ensure that the benchmark tests are applied correctly to each artefact. The evaluation process will also assign scores to the artefact for the criteria that cannot be assessed directly through benchmark testing.

When both benchmark testing and EC evaluation have been completed, the overall score for each artefact will be calculated using the weightings shown above. All final scores will be disclosed at the end of the competition, and the artefacts ranked according to this final score.

The time slot for benchmark testing is divided into three parts. In the first part, the competing team will deploy and configure their artefact in the living lab. This part should last no more than *60 minutes*. In the second part, the benchmark will be applied. During this phase the competitors will have the opportunity to perform only short reconfigurations of their systems. In any case, this part should be concluded in *X minutes* (strict deadline, to be defined according to the number of competitors). In the last part the teams will remove the artefact from the living lab in order to enable the installation of the next competing artefact (also this part will have strict deadlines)

Competing teams which fail to meet the deadlines in parts 1 and 3 will be given the minimum score for each criterion related to the benchmark test. Furthermore, artefacts should be kept active and working during the whole second part. If benchmark testing in the second part is not completed, the team will be awarded a minimum score for all the missing tests.

During the second part, the localization systems will be evaluated in three phases:

- Phase 1. In this phase each team must locate a person inside an Area of Interest (AoI). The AoI in a typically AAL scenario could be inside a specific room (bathroom, bedroom), in front of a kitchen etc. The AoI will be disclosed to the competitors before the competition, as early as possible.
- Phase 2. In this phase a person that moves inside the Living Lab must be located and tracked (we plan only 2D localization and tracking here). During this phase only the person to be localized will be

inside the Living Lab. In this phase each localization system should produce localization data with a frequency of 1 new item of data every half a second (this will be also used to evaluate availability). The path followed by the person will be the same for each test, and it will not be disclosed to competitors before the application of the benchmarks. The environment will be made as much as possible similar to a house. This means that, if possible, there will typical appliances on, neighbours wifi AP on, cellular phones on etc. In order to evaluate the accuracy of the competing artefacts, the organizers will compare the output of the artefacts with a reference localization system. Currently, the number and lengths of the paths used in the tests, and the number and position of AoIs. Details will be made public to the competitors as soon as the decisions in merit will be taken by the TPC.

- Phase 3. This phase is organized as the former phase, but the competing artefacts will be evaluated in the presence of another person who moves inside the Living Lab. Only one person must be localized by the competing artefacts, the second one will follow a predefined path unknown to the competitors.

Evaluation criteria:

In order to evaluate the competing localization systems, the TPC will apply the evaluation criteria listed in this document. For each criterion, a numerical score will be awarded. Where possible the score will be measured by direct observation or technical measurement. Where this is not possible, the score will be determined by the Evaluation Committee (EC). The EC will be composed of some volunteer members of the Technical Program Committee TPC, and will be present during the competition at the Living Lab.

The overall score will be estimate summing each weighted evaluation criteria that are:

1. *Accuracy [weighted with 0.25]* – each produced localization sample is compared with the reference position and the error distance is computed.

During the first phase of the competition the user will stop (after a predefined walk equal for all competitors) 30 seconds in each Area of Interest (AoI). Accuracy in this case will be measured as the fraction T of time in which the localization system provides the correct information about:

- Presence of the user in a given AoI
- Absence of the user from any AoI

The score is given by:

$$\text{Accuracy score} = 10 * T$$

For the last 2 phases, the stream produced by competing systems will be compared against a logfile of the expected position of the user. Specifically, we will evaluate the individual error of each measure (the Euclidian distance between the measured and the expected points), and we will estimate 75th percentile P of the errors. In order to produce the score, P will be scaled in the range [0,10] according to the following formula:

Accuracy score = 0	if	$P > 4 \text{ m}$
Accuracy score = 10	if	$P \leq 0,5 \text{ m}$
Accuracy score = $4 * (0.5 - P) + 10$	if	$0,5 \text{ m} < P \leq 2$
Accuracy score = $2 * (4 - P)$	if	$2 \text{ m} < P \leq 4$

The final score on accuracy will be the average between the scores obtained in all the phases.

